# Absolute Configurations of Two Enantiomers of a Substituted Cyclohexanone

#### ANTHONY LINDEN

Organisch-chemisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

## STEPHAN STANCHEV

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, BG-1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

(Received 16 January 1995; accepted 16 February 1995)

### Abstract

The low-temperature X-ray structures of (-)-(2S,5R)-2-[(1'R)-1'-(N,N-dimethylsulfamoyl)-2'-methyl-1'-phenylprop-2'-yl]-5-methylcyclohexan-1-one, C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S, and its (+)-(1'S) enantiomer are reported [alternative IUPAC name:  $\beta$ , $\beta$ ,N,N,4-pentamethyl-2-oxo- $\alpha$ -phenylcyclohexaneethanesulfonamide]. The absolute configuration of each molecule has been determined.

### Comment

(-)-(2S, 5R)-2-[(1'R)-1'-(N, N-dimethylsulfamoyl)-2'-methyl-1'-phenylprop-2'-yl]-5-methylcyclohexan-1-one, (I), and its (+)-(1'S) enantiomer, (II), were prepared during the course of a synthetic programme to investigate the addition of  $\alpha$ -metallated sulfonamides to cyclic ketones (Stanchev, Christov, Simova, Mladenova & Linden, 1995). The enantiomers (I) and (II) are both obtained from the addition of  $\alpha$ -lithiated phenylmethanesulfonamide to (+)-(R)-pulegone.



Views of (I) and (II), showing the displacement ellipsoids, atomic numbering and the correct absolute

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved configuration are given in Figs. 1 & 2, respectively. The absolute configuration of each molecule was determined by refinement of the structure and the enantiopole parameter according to the method of Flack (1983). There are no significant differences between the corresponding bond lengths and angles of molecules (I) and (II). Most bond lengths and angles are within expected ranges. The C(2)—C(8), C(8)—C(11) and C(11)—S(12) bonds are slightly elongated in both structures; the C—S bonds are about 0.06 Å longer than the typical value for such bonds in a similar environment (Allen *et al.*, 1992). A similar elongation of about 0.04 Å was observed in the structure of the related compound, (-)-(1S,2S,5R)-1-(N,N-dimethylsulfamoylmethyl)-2-iso-



Fig. 1. View of the molecule of (I) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.



Fig. 2. View of the molecule of (II) showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995 propyl-5-methylcyclohexan-1-ol (Linden & Stanchev, 1995). The cyclohexanone ring has a virtually undistorted chair conformation. The  $sp^2$  C atom, C(1), does not cause any significant distortion of the ring conformation.

Allowing for the interchange of the Ph and Me<sub>2</sub>NSO<sub>2</sub> substituents, the torsion angles about C(2)—C(8) and C(8)-C(11) are very similar in (I) and (II), showing that this interchange does not affect the preferred conformation of the molecule.

#### Experimental

Compounds (I) and (II) were prepared as described by Stanchev, Christov, Simova, Mladenova & Linden (1995). Suitable crystals were grown by slow evaporation of CHCl<sub>3</sub> solutions.

#### Compound (I)

Crystal data

$C_{19}H_{29}NO_3S$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 351.50$	$\lambda = 0.71069$ Å
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
P21	reflections
a = 8.684(3) Å	$\theta = 19.0 - 20.0^{\circ}$
b = 12.239(4) Å	$\mu = 0.186 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 8.974 (2) Å	T = 173(1)  K
$\beta = 93.91(2)^{\circ}$	Prism
$V = 951.6(5) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.38 \times 0.35 \times 0.23$ mm
Z = 2	Colourless
$D_x = 1.227 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

 $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ 

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractom-	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
eter	$h = -10 \rightarrow 12$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$k = -14 \rightarrow 17$
Absorption correction:	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
none	3 standard refle
4946 measured reflections	monitored ev
4474 independent reflections	reflections
4000 observed reflections	intensity dec
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	insignifica
$R_{\rm int} = 0.034$	

#### Refinement

Refinement on FR = 0.0368wR = 0.0353S = 1.7544000 reflections 332 parameters All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.005F_o)^2]$ 

# Compound (II)

Crystal data C19H29NO3S  $M_r = 351.50$ 

Trigonal  $P3_2$ a = 12.457 (1) Å c = 10.505 (2) Å V = 1411.7 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 3 $D_{\rm x} = 1.240 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ 

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: none 5515 measured reflections 4932 independent reflections 4651 observed reflections  $[l > 2\sigma(l)]$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.014$ 

### Refinement

S(12)-N(13)

Refinement on F R = 0.0295wR = 0.0292S = 1.7684651 reflections 333 parameters All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.005F_o)^2]$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.0003$ 

Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 19.0\text{--}20.0^\circ$  $\mu = 0.188 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (1) K Prism  $0.50\,\times\,0.43\,\times\,0.32$  mm Colourless

$$\theta_{max} = 30^{\circ}$$
  
 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$   
 $k = -14 \rightarrow 15$   
 $l = -13 \rightarrow 14$   
3 standard reflections  
monitored every 150  
reflections  
intensity decay:  
insignificant

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.27 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: Zachariasen (1963) Extinction coefficient:  $g = 6(1) \times 10^{-1}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$  for (I)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

$k = -14 \rightarrow 17$		x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$	S(12)	0.13408 (6)	0.37270	0.34765 (5)	0.0210(1)
3 standard reflections	O(1)	-0.2792 (2)	0.4830(1)	0.2922 (2)	0.0281 (5)
5 standard reneedons	O(2)	0.0674 (2)	0.4322 (1)	0.4665 (2)	0.0302 (5)
monitored every 150	<b>O</b> (3)	0.2317 (2)	0.2802 (1)	0.3867 (2)	0.0335 (5)
reflections	N(13)	0.2383 (2)	0.4600 (2)	0.2612 (2)	0.0272 (6)
intensity decay:	C(1)	-0.2632 (2)	0.4331 (2)	0.4090 (3)	0.0213 (6)
insignificant	C(2)	-0.2195 (3)	0.3109 (2)	0.4180 (2)	0.0199 (6)
linsignificant	C(3)	-0.3652 (3)	0.2539 (2)	0.4728 (3)	0.0274 (7)
	C(4)	-0.4076 (3)	0.3004 (2)	0.6230 (3)	0.0306 (8)
	C(5)	-0.4387 (3)	0.4242 (2)	0.6160 (3)	0.0306 (8)
	C(6)	-0.3003(3)	0.4816 (2)	0.5557 (3)	0.0284 (7)
( ) ( ) 0005	C(7)	-0.4730 (4)	0.4679 (3)	0.7689 (4)	0.047 (1)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.0005$	C(8)	-0.1542 (2)	0.2599 (2)	0.2756 (2)	0.0210 (6)
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-5}$	C(9)	-0.2793 (3)	0.2501 (2)	0.1470 (3)	0.0283 (7)
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	C(10)	-0.0933 (3)	0.1447 (2)	0.3172 (3)	0.0320 (8)
Extinction correction: none	C(11)	-0.0234 (2)	0.3337 (2)	0.2156 (2)	0.0188 (6)
Atomio contering factors	C(14)	0.1657 (4)	0.5657 (2)	0.2211 (4)	0.041 (1)
Atomic scattering factors	C(15)	0.3555 (3)	0.4250 (3)	0.1638 (3)	0.042(1)
from International Tables	C(16)	0.0438 (3)	0.2958 (2)	0.0722 (2)	0.0215 (6)
for Crystallography (1992,	C(17)	0.0076 (3)	0.3550 (2)	-0.0589 (2)	0.0268 (7
Vol C Tables 4268 and	C(18)	0.0709 (3)	0.3278 (2)	-0.1923 (3)	0.0351 (8
6.1.1.4)	C(19)	0.1699 (3)	0.2397 (3)	-0.1974 (3)	0.0392 (9)
	C(20)	0.2057 (3)	0.1794 (2)	-0.0694 (3)	0.0376 (8
	C(21)	0.1434 (3)	0.2063 (2)	0.0645 (3)	0.0288 (7)
	Tab	le 2. Selecte	d geometric	parameters (Å,	°) for (I)
	S(12)	O(2)	1.445 (2)	C(1)—C(6)	1.499 (3)
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	S(12)-	O(3)	1.444 (2)	C(2)—C(3)	1.554 (3)
$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$	S(12)-	N(13)	1.630 (2)	C(2)—C(8)	1.563 (3)

#### ANTHONY LINDEN AND STEPHAN STANCHEV

S(12)-C(11	)	1.811 (2)	C(3)C(4)		1.531 (4)
O(1) - C(1)	-	1.213 (3)	C(4)C(5)		1.539 (3)
N(13)-C(14	4)	1.474 (4)	C(5)-C(6)		1.523 (3)
N(13)-C(1	5)	1.450 (3)	C(8)-C(11)		1.574 (3)
C(1)-C(2)		1.544 (3)	C(11)C(16)		1.522 (3)
O(2)—S(12)	—O(3)	118.3 (1)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	)	104.4 (2)
O(2)—S(12)	⊢N(13)	106.5 (1)	C(1)-C(2)-C(8)	)	116.5 (2)
O(2)-S(12)		107.02 (9)	C(3)-C(2)-C(8)	)	115.4 (2)
O(3)—S(12)	-N(13)	107.1 (1)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	)	111.2 (2)
O(3)—S(12)	-C(11)	110.9 (1)	C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	)	112.5 (2)
N(13)-S(12	2)—C(11)	106.3 (1)	C(4)-C(5)-C(6)	)	109.2 (2)
S(12)-N(13	3)C(14)	116.7 (2)	C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	)	110.6 (2)
S(12)-N(13	3)—C(15)	121.9 (2)	C(2)-C(8)-C(1)	1)	111.1 (2)
C(14)-N(1	3)-C(15)	114.7 (2)	S(12)-C(11)-C	(8)	117.2(1)
O(1)-C(1)-	-C(2)	123.1 (2)	S(12)-C(11)-C	(16)	108.5 (1)
O(1) - C(1)	C(6)	122.7 (2)	C(8)-C(11)-C(	16)	116.2 (2)
C(2)C(1)-	-C(6)	113.9 (2)			
	S(12)C(11	)C(8)C(2)	:	52.5 (2)	

S(12) - C(11) - C(8) - C(2)	52.5 (2)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	-58.1 (3)
C(1)-C(2)-C(8)-C(11)	48.4 (2)
C(1)-C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	54.2 (3)
C(2)-C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	-61.3 (3)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	58.6 (3)
C(2)-C(8)-C(11)-C(16)	-176.9 (2)
C(3) - C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	61.0 (2)
C(3) - C(2) - C(8) - C(11)	171.3 (2)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(6)	-54.2 (3)

Table 3.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equival	ent
isot	ropic displa	cement j	parameters (	Ų) f	or (II)	

# $U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	r	ν	Z	$U_{eq}$
S(12)	0.41738 (3)	0.01902 (3	) -0.04420	0.0255 (1)
$\dot{\mathbf{O}}(1)$	0.7361 (1)	0.20791 (9	) 0.2162 (1)	0.0293 (4)
O(2)	0.3752(1)	0.0927 (1)	0.0205 (1)	0.0386 (6)
O(3)	0.3281(1)	-0.1055 (1)	-0.0809(1)	0.0389 (5)
N(13)	0.4860(1)	0.0940(1)	-0.1744 (1)	0.0270 (5)
cùi	0.7376(1)	0.1160(1)	0.2529(1)	0.0219 (5)
C(2)	0.6237(1)	-0.0143 (1)	0.2560(1)	0.0208 (5)
C(3)	0.6082(2)	-0.0580 (2)	0.3964 (2)	0.0286 (6)
C(4)	0.7242 (2)	-0.0564 (2)	0.4467 (2)	0.0308 (7)
C(5)	0.8360(2)	0.0734 (2)	0.4414 (2)	0.0288 (6)
C(6)	0.8543 (2)	0.1237 (2)	0.3047 (2)	0.0287 (6)
C(7)	0.9548 (2)	0.0807 (2)	0.4905 (2)	0.0415 (9)
C(8)	0.5034(1)	-0.0298 (1)	0.1933 (1)	0.0219 (5)
C(9)	0.4536 (2)	0.0429 (2)	0.2681 (2)	0.0305 (7)
C(10)	0.4035 (2)	-0.1684 (2)	0.1956 (2)	0.0315 (6)
C(11)	0.5394(1)	0.0213 (1)	0.0530(1)	0.0198 (5)
C(14)	0.5781 (2)	0.2252 (2)	-0.1642 (2)	0.0364 (7)
C(15)	0.5013 (2)	0.0294 (2)	-0.2834 (2)	0.0375 (9)
C(16)	0.6073(1)	-0.0284 (1)	-0.0256 (1)	0.0237 (6)
C(17)	0.7298 (2)	0.0526 (2)	-0.0606 (2)	0.0303 (7)
C(18)	0.7948 (2)	0.0138 (2)	-0.1377 (2)	0.043 (1)
C(19)	0.7390 (2)	-0.1063 (2)	-0.1794 (2)	0.048 (1)
C(20)	0.6180 (2)	-0.1888 (2)	-0.1451 (2)	0.045 (1)
C(21)	0.5514 (2)	-0.1509 (2)	-0.0693 (2)	0.0337 (7)
Table	e 4. Selected	d geometric	parameters (Å	,°) for (II)
S(12)-C	)(2)	1,436(1)	C(1)C(6)	1.509 (2)
S(12) - C	(3)	1.438(1)	C(2)-C(3)	1.551 (2)
S(12)-N	V(13)	1.636(1)	C(2)-C(8)	1.558 (2)
S(12)-C	2(11)	1.819(1)	C(3)-C(4)	1.529 (2)
0(1) - C	(1)	1.218 (2)	C(4)-C(5)	1.518 (2)
N(13)(	Č(14)	1.458 (2)	C(5)-C(6)	1.538 (2)
N(13)-0	C(15)	1.465 (2)	C(8)-C(11)	1.579 (2)
C(1)-C	(2)	1.532 (2)	C(11)C(16)	1.518 (2)
O(2)S(	(12)—O(3)	118.89 (8)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	106.2 (1)
O(2)-S(	(12)—N(13)	106.52 (7)	C(1)-C(2)-C(8)	116.5 (1)
O(2)-S(	(12)—C(11)	107.13 (7)	C(3)C(2)C(8)	114.6 (1)
O(3)-S(	(12)—N(13)	107.06 (7)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	111.9 (1)
0(3)-5	in Cub	110.91 (7)	C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	111.1 (1)

110.91 (7)

105.47 (6)

118.2(1)

C(3)-C(4)-C(5)

C(4)-C(5)-C(6)

C(1) - C(6) - C(5)

109.8 (1)

111.7(1)

O(3)-S(12)-C(11)

N(13)-S(12)-C(11)

S(12)-N(13)-C(14)

S(12)—N(13)—C(15) C(14)—N(13)—C(15) O(1)—C(1)—C(2) O(1)—C(1)—C(2) C(2)—C(1)—C(6) C(2)—C(1)—C(6)	121.0 (1) 115.3 (1) 124.1 (1) 121.5 (1) 114.4 (1)	C(2)C(8)C(11) S(12)C(11)C(8) S(12)C(11)C(16) C(8)C(11)C(16)	106.5 (1) 116.83 (9) 108.64 (9) 116.6 (1)
S(12)C(1	1)-C(8)-C(2)	-178.52 (	9)
C(1)C(2)	C(3)C(4)	-57.4 (2	)
C(1)C(2)	-C(8)-C(11)	54.4 (1	)
C(1)C(6)	-C(5)-C(4)	53.4 (2	)
C(2) - C(1)		-55.9 (2	)
C(2) - C(3)	-C(4)-C(5)	60.2 (2	)
C(2) - C(8)	-C(1) - C(16)	50.7 (1	)
C(3) - C(2)		56.0 (2	)
C(3) - C(2)		179.3 (1	)
C(3)-C(4)	-C(5)-C(6)	-55.9 (2	)

The data collections were extended to include the measurement of the intensities of the Friedel opposites of all unique reflections with  $2\theta < 50^{\circ}$  for (I) and  $2\theta < 55^{\circ}$  for (II). Friedel pairs were not averaged during the data reduction so that the effects of anomalous dispersion could be used for the determination of the absolute configuration. For this purpose the CRYSTALS program system (Watkin, Carruthers & Betteridge, 1985) was used to refine the final atomic coordinates together with the enantiopole parameter (Flack, 1983). The refined values of the enantiopole parameter were 0.07 (7) for (I) and -0.02 (5) for (II), thus confirming that the atomic coordinates for each structure represented the correct enantiomorph.

For both compounds, data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1991); cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN PROCESS (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: TEXSAN LS; molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN FINISH.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BM1003). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1992). International Tables for Crystallography, Vol. C, edited by A. J. C. Wilson, pp. 691-706. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Linden, A. & Stanchev, S. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1694-1696.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1991). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Stanchev, S., Christov, R., Simova, S., Mladenova, M. & Linden, A. (1995). Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon. In the press.
- Watkin, D. J., Carruthers, J. R. & Betteridge, P. W. (1985). CRYSTALS User Guide. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.